IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: GENERIC PHARMACEUTICALS PRICING ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL 2724 16-MD-2724

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: *ALL ACTIONS*

HON. CYNTHIA M. RUFE

CERTAIN DEFENDANTS' SUBMISSION PURSUANT TO PTO NO. 157 CONCERNING REVISED BELLWETHER SELECTION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMI	NARY STATEMENT	1
BACKGROUND		2
ARGUME	MENT	
I. Bellwether	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
(a) Procee		3
(b) Includ	The Heritage-Centric Action Involves a More Manageable Number of Products and les Some of the Largest Defendants in the MDL	
(c)	The Heritage-Centric Action is the Most Procedurally Advanced	5
(d)	Heritage Favors the Heritage-Centric Action	5
CONCLUS	SION	6

The undersigned Defendants ("Defendants") respectfully submit this memorandum pursuant to Pretrial Orders Nos. 154 and 157, and the Court's memorandum opinion of February 9, 2021 (the "February 9 Opinion"), granting Defendant Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.'s ("Teva") motion for reconsideration and vacating Pretrial Order No. 132 on the selection of bellwether cases.¹

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

In its February 9, 2021 Opinion, the Court stated that the State Plaintiffs' Heritage-Centric action "is an appropriate choice for a bellwether." (February 9 Opinion at 4.) The undersigned Defendants agree.

The State Plaintiffs' Heritage-Centric action (the "Heritage-Centric action") is the only choice of the three so-called "overarching" cases filed by the State Plaintiffs to effectively and efficiently advance this litigation and provide guidance for other cases in this MDL. That is because the Heritage-Centric action:

• involves a substantial (but more manageable) number or products—critically, *none* of which are products included in the Teva Indictment (defined below), whereas both the State Plaintiffs' Teva-Centric action and the action the State Plaintiffs filed on June 10, 2020 (the "Dermatology action")² involve indictment products.³

¹ Nothing herein should be construed as a waiver of the right of any Defendant to seek remand in respect of any case transferred into this Court for consolidated pre-trial proceedings under 28 U. S. C. § 1407(a).

² The State of Connecticut, et al. v. Sandoz, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 2:20-cv-03539 (E.D. Pa. June 10, 2020), ECF No. 1

³ Accordingly, for similar reasons as those at the center of the Court's February 9 Opinion removing the Teva-Centric action as a bellwether, the Dermatology action is inappropriate as a bellwether case.

• comprises a representative mix of large and small corporate Defendants as well as individual Defendants—none of the individual Defendants is indicted

; and

• is by far the most procedurally advanced of the State Plaintiffs' actions as motions to dismiss have been briefed and in certain instances decided, and Defendants have substantially completed production of documents and data concerning the claims in that action⁴—none of those material advancements can be said of the Dermatology action.⁵

Finally, Heritage Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Heritage")—the focus of the Heritage-Centric action—favors moving forward with the Heritage-Centric action and does not face the same prejudice issues raised in Teva's motion for reconsideration.

For these reasons, and as explained further below, the undersigned Defendants respectfully request that the Court confirm its decision that the Heritage-Centric action is the appropriate choice as a bellwether.⁶

BACKGROUND

On July 13, 2020, the Court entered PTO No. 132, which selected as bellwethers the State Plaintiffs' Teva-Centric action, as well as the DPPs' and EPPs' Clobetasol, Clomipramine and Pravastatin individual drug cases. Thereafter, on August 25, 2020, Teva was charged in a

⁴ State Plaintiffs have not completed their productions for any of their cases.

⁵ The parties are engaging in good-faith negotiations regarding the scope of document production in the Dermatology action and anticipate completing those negotiations shortly.

⁶ This statement does not address the DPP and EPP Clomipramine and Clobetasol actions that were identified as appropriate bellwethers but not specifically addressed in the Court's February 9 Opinion. Defendants and counsel for DPPs and EPPs have since met and conferred and were able to reach agreement as to the DPP and EPP bellwethers, as reflected in a joint stipulation submitted to the Court earlier today.

three-count indictment brought by the DOJ Antitrust Division. *See United States v. Teva Pharmaceuticals, USA, Inc. and Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Inc., USA*, No. 20-CR-00200-RBS, ECF 28 (E.D. Pa. Aug. 25, 2020) (the "Teva Indictment"). The Teva Indictment refers to the following 14 products: (1) Carbamazepine Tablets; (2) Carbamazepine Chews; (3) Clotrimazole Topical Solution; (4) Etodolac IR; (5) Etodolac ER; (6) Fluocinonide Cream; (7) Fluocinonide Emollient Cream; (8) Fluocinonide Gel; (9) Fluocinonide Ointment; (10) Nadolol; (11) Pravastatin; (12) Temozolomide; (13) Tobramycin; and (14) Warfarin. Significantly, *none* of these indictment products are at issue in the Heritage-Centric action. By contrast, certain of these indictment products are at issue in both the State Plaintiffs' Teva-Centric and Dermatology "overarching conspiracy" actions.

On November 3, 2020, Teva moved for reconsideration of the Court's bellwether selection on the grounds that the criminal proceedings would impede progress of the Teva-Centric and Pravastatin cases, and as a result, the MDL in general, and would prejudice Teva. Following oral argument, on February 9, 2021, the Court issued a memorandum and order granting the motion for reconsideration, vacating PTO No. 132, and noting that the Heritage-Centric case would provide an "appropriate choice for a bellwether." (February 9 Opinion at 4.)

ARGUMENT

I. The Heritage-Centric Action Is the Only Appropriate State Plaintiff Bellwether

(a) The Heritage-Centric Action Will Be Least Impacted by the Pending Criminal Proceedings

In its February 9, 2021 Opinion, the Court reconsidered its selection of the Prayastatin

⁷ Defendant Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Inc., USA ("Glenmark") was charged with antitrust violations with respect to Pravastatin via information on June 30, 2020 and indicted on July 14, 2020. The DOJ filed a Second Superseding Indictment on August 25, 2020, which also charged Teva with antitrust violations with respect to Pravastatin and other drugs.

and Teva-Centric actions because the DOJ's criminal proceedings raised "due process concerns" given the "risk of delay and of scheduling conflicts with the criminal case." (February 9 Opinion at 3-4.) Moving forward with the Heritage-Centric action will not raise these potential prejudice and due process concerns. First, that case involves none of the 14 products included in the Teva Indictment (or any other pending indictment). By contrast, three of these products are at issue in the Dermatology action.

Second, no individual Defendant in the Heritage-Centric action has been indicted and so proceeding with the Heritage-Centric action does not present the same issues as the Teva-Centric action. (*See* Defs. Objection to Marion Bellwether R&R 11 n.20, ECF No. 1296; Defs. Reply ISO Objection to Marion Bellwether R&R 11, ECF No. 1353.) By contrast, the Dermatology action concerns an individual Defendant who has been indicted.

, thereby reducing any delay that will be caused

. (*See* Defs. Objection to Marion R&R 3, ECF No. 1296; Defs. Reply
ISO Objection to Marion Bellwether R&R 7, ECF No. 1353; Defs. Supplemental Submission
ISO Objection to Marion Bellwether R&R 3, ECF No. 1384.) And, while Teva is a Defendant in
the Heritage-Centric action, it is not a central focus. (*See* February 9 Opinion at 3.) There are
also fewer current and former Teva employees who are identified in that complaint, as compared
with the State Plaintiffs' other two complaints.

(b) The Heritage-Centric Action Involves a More Manageable Number of Products and Includes Some of the Largest Defendants in the MDL

Litigation concerning the 15 products in the Heritage-Centric action will be sufficient to test the State Plaintiffs' "overarching conspiracy" theory, without having so many as to render the litigation unwieldy and inefficient. The same cannot be said for the Dermatology action, which involves 83 products.

The Heritage-Centric action also involves an appropriate mix of large and small corporate Defendants, as well as two individual defendants. And among the manufacturer Defendants in that case are some of the largest in the MDL each of which would thus have a significant stake in the outcome of the bellwether case. (*See* Pls. Opp'n to Teva's Motion for Reconsideration, ECF No. 1603, at 13 ("[I]t remains essential that the largest and most representative Plaintiffs and Defendants have significant stakes in the bellwethers.").)

(c) The Heritage-Centric Action is the Most Procedurally Advanced

The Heritage-Centric action has been at the center of this MDL for almost three years since the State Plaintiffs filed their consolidated amended complaint on June 15, 2018. As a result, it is significantly more advanced than any case filed by the State Plaintiffs.

The Court already ruled on a joint motion to dismiss the overarching conspiracy claims in the Heritage-Centric action, and the remaining motions to dismiss are fully briefed. In addition, Defendants substantially completed their productions of documents and transactional data relevant to the Heritage-Centric action. In contrast, Defendants have not yet had the opportunity to test the Dermatology complaint's allegations in motions to dismiss, and Defendants' productions and certain negotiations about the scope of the discovery related to the Dermatology complaint are ongoing. Thus, the selection of the Dermatology action as a bellwether would set the case back many months.

(d) Heritage Favors the Heritage-Centric Action

Heritage continues to advocate for the selection of the State Plaintiffs' Heritage-Centric action as a bellwether. (*See generally* Heritage Objection to Marion 3d R&R, ECF No. 1294-2.)

Heritage does so despite having entered into a deferred prosecution agreement with respect to one product that is a significant part of the Heritage-Centric action.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the undersigned Defendants respectfully request that the Court select the Heritage-Centric case as a bellwether. As the Court noted in granting Teva's reconsideration motion, the Heritage-Centric action is an appropriate bellwether choice, given (among other things) unlike the Dermatology action, it does not concern any of the products referred to in the Teva Indictment and does not name any individual Defendant who has been criminally indicted.

Dated: March 1, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP

/s/ Sheron Korpus

Sheron Korpus Seth A. Moskowitz Seth Davis David M. Max 1633 Broadway New York, New York 10019

Telephone: (212) 506-1700
Facsimile: (212) 506-1800
skorpus@kasowitz.com
smoskowitz@kasowitz.com
sdavis@kasowitz.com
dmax@kasowitz.com

Attorneys for Defendants Actavis Elizabeth, LLC, Actavis Holdco U.S., Inc., and Actavis Pharma, Inc.

/s/ Raymond A. Jacobson, Jr.

Raymond A. Jacobsen, Jr.
Paul M. Thompson (Pa. Bar No. 82017)
Lisa (Peterson) Rumin
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
500 North Capitol Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: (202) 756-8000
rayjacobsen@mwe.com
pthompson@mwe.com
lrumin@mwe.com

Nicole L. Castle McDermott Will & Emery LLP 340 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10173 Telephone: (212) 547-5400 ncastle@mwe.com Counsel for Defendants Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC, and Impax Laboratories, Inc (n/k/a Impax Laboratories, LLC)

/s/ James W. Matthews

James W. Matthews Katy E. Koski John F. Nagle FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 111 Huntington Avenue Boston, Massachusetts 02199

Tel: (617) 342-4000 Fax: (617) 342-4001 jmatthews@foley.com kkoski@foley.com jnagle@foley.com

James T. McKeown
Elizabeth A. N. Haas
Kate E. Gehl
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
777 E. Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53202
Tel: (414) 271-2400
Fax: (414) 297-4900
jmckeown@foley.com
ehaas@foley.com
kgehl@foley.com

Steven F. Cherry April N. Williams Claire Bergeron WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20006 Tel: (202) 663-6000 Fax: (202) 663-6363

steven.cherry@wilmerhale.com

<u>april.williams@wilmerhale.com</u> <u>claire.bergeron@wilmerhale.com</u>

Terry M. Henry Melanie S. Carter BLANK ROME LLP One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: (215) 569-5644 Fax: (215) 832-5644 THenry@blankrome.com

MCarter@blankrome.com

Counsel for Defendant Apotex Corp.

/s/ Wayne A. Mack

Wayne A. Mack
Sean P. McConnell
Sarah O'Laughlin Kulik
DUANE MORRIS LLP
30 S. 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Tel: (215) 979-1152
wamack@duanemorris.com
spmcconnell@duanemorris.com
sckulik@duanemorris.com

Counsel for Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc.

/s/ Roger B. Kaplan

Roger B. Kaplan Jason Kislin Aaron Van Nostrand

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

500 Campus Drive, Suite 400 Florham Park, NJ 07931 Tel: (973) 360-7900 Fax: (973) 295-1257

kaplanr@gtlaw.com kislinj@gtlaw.com

vannostranda@gtlaw.com

Brian T. Feeney

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: (215) 988-7812 Fax: (215) 717-5265 feeneyb@gtlaw.com

Counsel for Defendant

Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc.

/s/ Steven A. Reed

Steven A. Reed R. Brendan Fee Melina R. DiMattio

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1701 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: +1.215.963.5000
Facsimile: +1.215.963.5001
steven.reed@morganlewis.com
brendan.fee@morganlewis.com
melina.dimattio@morganlewis.com

Wendy West Feinstein

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

One Oxford Centre Thirty-Second Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219-6401 Telephone: +1.412.560.7455 Facsimile: +1.412.560.7001

wendy.feinstein@morganlewis.com

Counsel for Defendant

Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Inc., USA

/s/ Marguerite M. Sullivan

Marguerite M. Sullivan (*pro hac vice*) Latham & Watkins LLP 555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C., 20004 (202)-637-2200

marguerite.sullivan@lw.com

Anna M. Rathbun (pro hac vice) Latham & Watkins LLP 555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C., 20004 (202)-637-2200 anna.rathbun@lw.com

Attorneys for Defendant G&W Laboratories, Inc.

/s/ Gerald E. Arth

Gerald E. Arth Ryan T. Becker Nathan M. Buchter FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 2000 Market Street, 20th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: (215) 299-2000 Fax: (215) 299-2150 garth@foxrothschild.com rbecker@foxrothschild.com nbuchter@foxrothschild.com

George G. Gordon Julia Chapman **DECHERT LLP** 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104-2808

Tel.: (215) 994-2382 Fax: (215) 655-2240

george.gordon@dechert.com julia.chapman@dechert.com

Counsel for Lannett Company, Inc.

/s/ Leiv Blad

Leiv Blad Zarema Jaramillo Meg Slachetka

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP

2200 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20037 Tel.: (202) 753-3800

Fax: (202) 753-3838

lblad@lowenstein.com zjaramillo@lowenstein.com mslachetka@lowenstein.com

Counsel for Defendants

Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and David Berthold

/s/ Chul

Pak

Chul Pak

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC

1301 Avenue of the Americas 40th Floor

New York, NY 10019 Tel: (212) 497-7726 Fax: (212) 999-5899 cpak@wsgr.com

Seth C. Silber Jeffrey C. Bank

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC

1700 K Street, NW Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20006 Tel: (202) 973-8824 Fax: (202) 973-8899 ssilber@wsgr.com jbank@wsgr.com

Adam K. Levin Benjamin F. Holt Justin W. Bernick

HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP

555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20004 Tel: (202) 637-5600 Fax: (202) 637-5910

adam.levin@hoganlovells.com benjamin.holt@hoganlovells.com justin.bernick@hoganlovells.com

Counsel for Defendants Mylan Inc., Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc., UDL Laboratories, *Inc.*, and Mylan N.V.

/s/ Robin D. Adelstein

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP

Robin D. Adelstein Mark A. Robertson

1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6022

Tel.: 212-318-3000

 $\frac{robin.adelstein@nortonrosefulbright.com}{mark.robertson@nortonrosefulbright.com}$

Attorneys for Defendants Oceanside Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Bausch Health Americas, Inc. (formerly known as Valeant Pharmaceuticals International), and Bausch Health US, LLC (formerly known as Valeant Pharmaceuticals North America LLC)

/s/ John E. Schmidtlein

John E. Schmidtlein Sarah F. Kirkpatrick

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-5000 Facsimile: (202) 434-5029 jschmidtlein@wc.com skirkpatrick@wc.com

Counsel for Defendants Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. and Par Pharmaceutical Companies, Inc.

/s/ J. Clayton Everett, Jr.

Scott A. Stempel J. Clayton Everett, Jr. Tracey F. Milich MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 20004 Phone: (202) 739-3000 Fax: (202) 739-3001

scott.stempel@morganlewis.com clay.everett@morganlewis.com tracey.milich@morganlewis.com Harvey Bartle IV

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Phone: (215) 963-5000 Fax: (215) 963-5001

harvey.bartle@morganlewis.com

Counsel for Defendant Perrigo New York,

Inc.

/s/ Ilana H. Eisenstein

DLA PIPER LLP (US)
Ilana H. Eisenstein
Ben C. Fabens-Lassen
1650 Market Street, Suite 5000
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Tel: (215) 656-3300
ilana.eisenstein@dlapiper.com
ben.fabens-lassen@dlapiper.com

Edward S. Scheideman 500 Eighth Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20004 Tel: (202) 799-4000

edward.scheideman@dlapiper.com

Counsel for Pfizer Inc. and Greenstone LLC

/s/ Saul P. Morgenstern

Saul P. Morgenstern
Margaret A. Rogers
Kathryn L. Rosenberg
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER
LLP
250 West 55th Street
New York, NY 10019
(212) 836-8000
(212) 836-8689 (fax)
saul.morgenstern@arnoldporter.com

margaret.rogers@arnoldporter.com kathryn.rosenberg@arnoldporter.com

/s/ Laura S. Shores

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER

LLP

601 Massachusetts Ave, NW Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 942-5000 (202) 942-5999 (fax)

laura.shores@arnoldporter.com

Attorneys for Defendants Sandoz Inc. and Fougera Pharmaceuticals Inc.

/s/ Erik T. Koons

John M. Taladay Erik T. Koons Stacy L. Turner Christopher P. Wilson

BAKER BOTTS LLP

700 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: (202) 639-7700
Facsimile: (202) 639-7890
john.taladay@bakerbotts.com
erik.koons@bakerbotts.com
stacy.turner@bakerbotts.com
christopher.wilson@bakerbotts.com

Lauri A. Kavulich Ann E. Lemmo

CLARK HILL PLC

2001 Market St, Suite 2620 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 640-8500 Facsimile: (215) 640-8501 lkavulich@clarkhill.com alemmo@clarkhill.com

Lindsay S. Fouse

CLARK HILL PLC

lfouse@clarkhill.com

301 Grant St, 14th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Telephone: (412) 394-7711 Facsimile: (412) 394-2555 Counsel for Defendants Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc., and Taro Pharmaceuticals U.S.A., Inc.

/s/ Heather K. McDevitt

Heather K. McDevitt Bryan D. Gant WHITE & CASE LLP

1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020

Tel.: (212) 819-8200 Fax: (212) 354-8113 hmcdevitt@whitecase.com bgant@whitecase.com

Counsel for Defendant Teligent, Inc.

/s/ J. Gordon Cooney, Jr.

J. Gordon Cooney, Jr. John J. Pease, III Alison Tanchyk William T. McEnroe

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: (215) 963-5000 Fax: (215) 963-5001 jgcooney@morganlewis.com

john.pease@morganlewis.com alison.tanchyk@morganlewis.com william.mcenroe@morganlewis.com

Amanda B. Robinson

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20004

Tel: (202) 739-3000 Fax: (202) 739-3001

amanda.robinson@morganlewis.com

Counsel for Defendant Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.

/s/ Devora W. Allon

Devora W. Allon, P.C. Jay P. Lefkowitz, P.C. Alexia R. Brancato KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

601 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-6460
devora.allon@kirkland.com
lefkowitz@kirkland.com
alexia.brancato@kirkland.com

Counsel for Defendant Upsher-Smith Laboratories, LLC

/s/ Damon W. Suden

William A. Escobar
Damon W. Suden
Clifford Katz
Kelley Drye & Warren LLP
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178

Tel.: 212-808-7800 Fax: 212-808-7987 dsuden@kelleydrye.com

Counsel for Defendant Wockhardt USA LLC and Morton Grove Pharmaceuticals. Inc.

/s/ Jason R. Parish

Jason R. Parish Martin J. Amundson

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

1700 K Street, NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 452-7900 Facsimile: (202) 452-7989 jason.parish@bipc.com martin.amundson@bipc.com

Bradley Kitlowski
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL &
ROONEY PC

Union Trust Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Telephone: (412) 562-8800 Facsimile: (412) 562-1041 bradley.kitlowski@bipc.com

Counsel for Defendant Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc.

/s/ G Robert Gage, Jr.
G. Robert Gage, Jr.
Gage Spencer & Fleming LLP
410 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 768-4900
grgage@gagespencer.com

Counsel for Defendant Ara Aprahamian

/s/ Larry H. Krantz

Larry H. Krantz
Jerrold L. Steigman
KRANTZ & BERMAN LLP
747 Third Avenue, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 661-0009
(212) 355-5009 (fax)
lkrantz@krantzberman.com
jsteigman@krantzberman.com

Counsel for Defendant James Brown

/s/Thomas H. Suddath, Jr.

Thomas H. Suddath, Jr. Anne E. Rollins Thomas P. Reilly REED SMITH LLP Three Logan Square 1717 Arch Street, Suite 3100

Philadelphia, PA 19103 tsuddath@reedsmith.com arollins@reedsmith.com treilly@reedsmith.com

Michael E. Lowenstein REED SMITH LLP Reed Smith Centre 225 Fifth Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222 mlowenstein@reedsmith.com

Counsel for Defendant Maureen Cavanaugh

/s/ James A. Backstrom

James A. Backstrom

JAMES A. BACKSTROM, COUNSELLOR

1515 Market Street, Suite 1200 Philadelphia, PA 19102-1932 Tel: (215) 864-7797 jabber@backstromlaw.com

Counsel for Defendant Marc Falkin

/s/ Robert E. Connolly

Robert E. Connolly Law Office of Robert Connolly 301 N. Palm Canyon Ave. Palm Springs, California 92262 Pennsylvania Bar No. 32341 bob@reconnollylaw.com (215) 219-4418

Counsel for James Grauso

/s/ Robert E. Welsh, Jr.

Robert E. Welsh, Jr. Alexandra Scanlon Kitei **WELSH & RECKER, P.C.** 306 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19106 (215) 972-6430 (215) 972-6436 (fax) rewelsh@welshrecker.com akitei@welshrecker.com

Counsel for Defendant Kevin Green

/s/ Jeffrey D. Smith

Jeffrey D. Smith
Alice Bergen
DECOTIIS, FITZPATRICK, COLE &
GIBLIN, LLP
61 South Paramus Road
Paramus, New Jersey 07652
(201) 907-5228
jsmisth@decotiislaw.com
abergen@decotiislaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant Robin Hatosy

/s/ Michael Gerard Considine

Michael Gerard Considine Laura Elizabeth Miller SEWARD & KISSEL LLP One Battery Park Plaza New York, NY 10004 (212) 574-1334 considine@sewkis.com millerl@sewkis.com

Attorneys for Defendant Jill Nailor

/s/ Bradley Love

Bradley Love Larry Mackey Neal Brackett Alyssa C. Hughes

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 236-1313 <u>bradley.love@btlaw.com</u> <u>larry.mackey@btlaw.com</u> neal.brackett@btlaw.com

alyssa.hughes@btlaw.com

Counsel for Defendant Nisha Patel

/s/ Sozi Pedro Tulante

Sozi Pedro Tulante
Jeffrey J. Masters
Carla G. Graff
DECHERT LLP
Cira Centre
2929 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
Tel: (215) 994-4000
Fax: (215) 994-2222
sozi.tulante@dechert.com

jeffrey.masters@dechert.com carla.graff@dechert.com

Attorneys for Defendant David Rekenthaler

/s/ David Reichenberg

David Reichenberg COZEN O'CONNOR P.C. 277 Park Avenue, 20th Floor New York, NY 10172 (212) 883-4956 dreichenberg@cozen.com

Stephen A. Miller
Calli Jo Padilla
COZEN O'CONNOR P.C.
1650 Market Street
Suite 2800
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 665-6938
(215) 253-6777 (fax)
samiller@cozen.com
cpadilla@cozen.com

Attorneys for Defendant Richard Rogerson

NON-PUBLIC VERSION - MDL 2724 – FILED UNDER SEAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY - SUBJECT TO PTO NO. 53

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 1, 2021, a copy of the foregoing Certain Defendants'
Submission Pursuant to PTO No. 157 Concerning Revised Bellwether Selection was served on counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Erik T. Koons
Erik T. Koons